

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	). FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,828 03/30/2004		Theoharis C. Theoharides	51275/147	3053	
28538	7590	05/04/2006		EXAMINER	
	VIN BLEC		LEITH, PATRICIA A		
4329 VAN NESS ST., NW WASHINGTON, DC 20016				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1655	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s) THEOHARIDES, THEOHARIS C.					
	10/811,828						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Patricia Leith	1655					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address –					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	—· s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	,						
4) Claim(s) 40-44 is/are pending in the application	on.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·						
8) Claim(s) 40-44 are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
··· _	ar.						
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<u> </u>	a priority under 25 U.S.C. \$ 110(a)	\ (d\ or (f)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some ★ c) None of:							
·- <u> </u>	to have been received						
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority documen</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documen</li></ul>		on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	, ,						
application from the International Burea		ou in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2d					
See the attached detailed Office action for a lis							
AMachan and (a)							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	, : <u>—</u>	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

Art Unit: 1655

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the following genera:flavoniod and proteoglycan. The species are independent or distinct because the species of each genera have different structures and functions and would thus provide for different effects when administered to a patient.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from each of the genera of flavonoid (i.e, quercetin for example) and proteoglycan (i.e., chondroitin sulfate for example) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, all claims are generic to at least one species of flavoniod and proteoglycan..

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia Leith whose telephone number is (571) 272-0968. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on (571) 272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia Leith
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1655

Sature fish

April 28, 2006